

Editorial SINDÉRESIS

Peer Review Procedures

June 2014



All Sindéresis publications are peer-reviewed. This guarantees the quality and relevance of our publications. The procedure for books is as follows:

Manuscripts are submitted to the Sindéresis Editor-in-chef (Director de contenidos) directly.

1st Step: The Scientific Editorial Board (composed by the Sinderesis Editor-in-chef and the different Collections/Series Editor-in-chef make a first selection and classification of manuscripts. The manuscript is now responsibility of the Collection/Series Editor-in-chef.

2nd Step: Manuscripts pre-selected and classified is sent to 1-3 reviewers – the number depending on the subject of the work. Every manuscript is peer reviewed, single or double blindly, depending on what is applicable in the field or in the series, by the members of Scientific Editorial Board and also other specialist on the field.

3th Step: The outcome of the review process is either 'accepted', 'accepted with (minor) revisions' or 'rejected'. In all cases, authors will receive the anonymised (summarized) reviewer(s) report(s).

4th Step: In return for their report, reviewers are rewarded with either a fee or a choice of Sindéresis publications of equal value.

The peer review procedure is coordinated by the Collection Editor-in-Chef, under supervision of Sindéresis Scientific Editorial Board of and in close cooperation with the Sindéresis Editor-in-chef.

It generally takes 6 to 8 weeks for a review to come in.